BY-NC-ND

ISSN 2764-5975

Ibero-American Journal of Economics & Business Research

Article information
Received: 24/10/2024
Accepted: 02/12/2024
Published: 06/12/2024
DOI: https://doi.org/10.56183/iberoecb.v4i2.30
JEL: G40, G41, G53
Peer Reviewed

Ram Paudel
ram.paudel.iaula@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0009-0002-8801-5452
Doctorate Candiatate, Doctor of Business Administration. International American University (IAULA), Los Angeles, California, USA

Vahick A. Yedgarian
vyedgarian@ucla.com
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0017-1697
Professor, University of California (UCLA)
Los Angeles, California, USA

The price of playing safe: uncovering the deep regret of conservative investors as risk-takers reap unmatched gains

El precio de ir a lo seguro: análisis del profundo arrepentimiento de los inversores conservadores mientras los arriesgados cosechan ganancias inigualables

ASBTRACT:

This paper looks at the emotional and monetary consequences of being conservative with investment as opposed to being liberal as seen in today's investment world. For instance, the majority of conservative investors are sometimes induced by the principal aim of avoiding risks and probably feeling regret later on as they notice that risks takers investors gain more rewards. This paper focuses on exploring the mental biases that cause these approaches, key among them being regret aversion, loss aversion and the FOMO concept from the behavioral finance theory. By looking into the ways conservative investors operate the risk/reward ratio, this paper helps in gaining a better understanding of the investment decision process, offer insight Individual investors and those financial professionals who help their clients choose a strategy that take into account psychological satisfaction in addition to several financial aspects.

Keywords: behavioral finance, conservative investment, regret aversion, loss aversion, FOMO.

Implications and originality: This paper explores how mental biases like regret aversion, loss aversion, and FOMO influence conservative investment strategies, offering insights for individual investors and financial professionals in balancing psychological satisfaction with financial outcomes.

RESUMEN:

Este artículo examina las consecuencias emocionales y monetarias de ser conservador con la inversión frente a ser liberal, como se ve en el mundo de la inversión actual. Por ejemplo, la mayoría de los inversores conservadores a veces están inducidos por el objetivo principal de evitar riesgos y probablemente se arrepientan más tarde al observar que los inversores que asumen riesgos obtienen más recompensas. Este documento se centra en explorar los sesgos mentales que causan estos planteamientos, entre los que destacan la aversión al arrepentimiento, la aversión a las pérdidas y el concepto FOMO de la teoría de las finanzas conductuales. Al analizar la forma en que los inversores conservadores operan con la relación riesgo/recompensa, este documento ayuda a comprender mejor el proceso de decisión de inversión, ofrece una visión a los inversores particulares y a los profesionales financieros que ayudan a sus clientes a elegir una estrategia que tenga en cuenta la satisfacción psicológica además de varios aspectos financieros.

Palabras clave: finanzas conductuales, inversión conservadora, aversión al arrepentimiento, aversión a la pérdida.

Implicaciones y originalidad: Este artículo analiza cómo los sesgos mentales como la aversión al arrepentimiento, la aversión a la pérdida y la falta de motivación influyen en las estrategias de inversión conservadoras, ofreciendo ideas a los inversores particulares y a los profesionales financieros para equilibrar la satisfacción psicológica con los resultados financieros.

INTRODUCTION

For globalization, investment strategies has come with the flood of liberalization with greater choice available based on the risks people are willing to take. Among the key strategies are the barrel income approach and the adventurous Wealth creation approach the two basically adopt different stand and techniques of wealth accumulation. Conservative investing aims at the capital preservation hence; it favors low risk preferred stocks, whereas risk-taking investing aims at achieving higher returns through risky asset choice (TPT Wealth, 2024). These separations in investment approaches usually cause different psychological and emotional effects to investors, and particularly in the sphere of regret and evaluating the performance of the investments. The question this dissertation aims to answer is to what extent is the risk aversion of the conservative investor emotional and financially costly? Some of such investors mainly those who have a tendency of avoiding high risks may feel so much regret once they see great returns practised by other investors who are willing to undertake higher risks (Bakar & Yi, 2016).

These emotional factors may go a long way in shaping individual investment behaviour as well as the actual behaviour of markets in response to changes in personal perceptions of risk and return. The overall research question that underpins this study is therefore: What is the connection between the conservative investment behavior and regret that the conservatives have? Besides, this research seeks to examine the gains accrued by risk-takers to derive insurance adjusted net benefits and gain a holistic perspective on safety or potential higher return tradeoffs in the investment environment. Gaining insight into this relationship is essential to demystifying the patterns of investors and decision making in financial markets (TPT Wealth, 2024). The importance of this study cannot be overemphasized. Explicit knowledge of the psychological drivers that influence investment decisions is also crucial not only for every investor but also for financial consultants and investment companies focused on helping their clients overcome many obstacles in the field of investing. It is instrumental in revealing the impact of such feeling-based mechanisms as regret and FOMO on decisions about investments and comparing the results obtained with various strategies. The presented dynamics would thus complement the literature of behavioral finance as this dissertation aims at providing meaningful insights for the enhancement of investors' decision-making and financial literacy.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Conservative vs. Risk-Taking Investment Strategies

Investment strategies generally are mainly conservative and risk assumption, and they have different and opposing financial aims, assets allocation pattern and market volatility responses. Knowledge of these approaches is very helpful for serious investors to get deeper insight into the workings of the psychological aspect of investors especially especially in the application of concepts like regret aversion and FOMO. It also concentrates on slow, steady, and safe inclusion of equities, bonds, and other fixed income securities rather than high risk revenue oriented securities. Because moderate, stable income is crucial to preserving money from fluctuations, conservative investors are simultaneously focused on preventing the principal from fluctuating, which is important to those with comparatively low tolerance to risk, especially middle-aged or elderly people (Hayes, 2024). The most obvious benefit of conservative approach is its higher appreciation throughout economic contraction; for instance, in cases of bear markets or recession, the portfolios consisting of bonds and other fixed income securities fare better than portfolios heavily invested in equities. However these strategies do not do so well in the bull market where investments such as stocks and emerging markets assets offer higher investment return. In such market conditions, the conservative investor may be faced with an opportunity cost as their portfolios do not contain the high growth potential that is currently inherent in more aggressive assets (van Vliet, 2022). This trade-off poses a major challenge for the conservative investors because their risk aversion may act as a thorn in their side to realize high investments in the long-run.

Whereas aggressive investment plans involve more-growth investments such as stock, emergent markets and other products such as metals, or cryptocurrency. This approach is riskier recognizing intermediate and high levels of market risk for greater returns. In the macroeconomic context, risks and uncertainties are transmitted even at international levels from country to country, although the contagion effect tends to affect emerging economies with greater consequences (Rodriguez-Diaz Ramos Torres, 2022; Dimitriou & Simos,

2013). Gambler investors are usually more patient to volatility and have the ability to potentially loose large sums in an attempt to gain a lot. That's why during the bull markets, such strategies can bring really big profits. For example, one can refer to market trends from pre-2000 bubbles, when fearless investment in dot/com technology stocks was evidently highly rewarding to the speakers of risk (Zhao & Hall, 2015). The term dot-com bubble also known as internet bubble can be described as the phase of the growth of the market from the year 1980 until the burst of the speculative bubble in stock market major in the late 1990s and the early years of the twenty-first century (CFI Team, 2015). The dot com period was unique in many ways. In the short run the affect on the society was negative; causing lots of companies to declare bankruptcy coupled with high unemployment rate and economic recession, to heavy investors especially those who invested so much on technology stocks which were overvalued (Zhao & Hall, 2015).

Hayes (2024) mentioned that Nasdaq, which is identified as a stock exchange that list technological and internet-based companies experienced a rapid increase of stock values of dot-com companies at that time. Nasdaq has an index that measures the stock value of companies that are listed on the site and this increased from less than 1000 to above 5000 points between 1996 and 2000. Unfortunately, this growth did not last and now it faces tough challenges. Soon the NASDAQ index dropped from a record high of 5,048.63 on 10 March 2000 to 1,139.90 in October 2002 – a decline of 76.81 % (Clarke, 2015). By December 2001 most dot com stocks had collapsed. It was estimated that loose monetary policies and risky investments cost investors about US $5 trillion /by the end of 2002 (CFI Team, 2015). The investors who ran into heavy losses during the periods of economic downturn especially the financial crisis of 2008, illustrates how investors had massively invested on equities and real estate as the value of their portfolio nosedived while portfolio investors who had invested on very conservative stocks had moderate losses within.

Studies conducted in behavioural finance offer good understanding of psychological factors controlling conservative and risky investor. For instance, regret aversion refers to many precautious investors' preference, who do not want to feel regret in the future in case of the chosen investment decision results in a loss. This aversion tends to assume a defensive view where investors retreat to fixed income securities instead of stock despite higher potential returns (Irfan et al., 2023). Locally, short-term comfort may be derived from such actions while in the long run those conservative investors may feel regret as they watch growth resulting from risks from other investors.Fear of missing out or FOMO is another psychological factor that comes out strongly especially with risk takers. Especially in the beginner phase of emerging markets like in a boom of cryptocurrencies, FOMO makes the investors take high risks as they do not want to be left out. This prejudice is not only applicable to judgments pertinent to unique cases, but may also be a part of the market processes – even such as bubbles that occur when the demands for the common occupy beyond the reasonable price to book value ratio. FOMO hits even the conservative investors in this scenario, who may feel compelled to invest in a hot market afraid that they are losing mouth by sticking to their conservative investment strategy. Therefore, the conservative and risk-taking processes also have their advantages and drawbacks that are incredibly influenced by investor emotions. Conservative approaches are safe and help protect capital, but the investor has little chance to ride the bull markets. Economic risk taking strategies can offer chances of very high and quick returns with possibility of very high losses especially in the time of economic difficulty. These and other techniques involve behavioral finance theories such as regret aversion and FOMO; therefore, unsophisticated investors take a lot of caution when investing while those aggressive investors take a lot of risk for additional gains irrespective of rationality of investment decisions. By becoming aware of these psychological attitudes, investors will be able to better navigate and make the right decisions to meet the conflicting demands of the risk and return on their investment.

Behavioral Finance and Decision-Making

To demonstrate how humane and social sciences such as psychology, neuroscience, and economic come together as behavioral finance and explain about losses aversive response, confirmation bias, and regret aversion.

Behavioral finance exists as an important paradigm that cut across psychological, neurological, and economic approaches. It alters the conventional neoclassical economic models that assume people act rationally as it accepts that such patterns are interfered with by cognitive forces and feelingt. Reflecting the principles from psychological evidences, behavioral finance reveals how and why individuals make their financial decisions influenced by perception, feeling and decision making system. For instance, people use judgment heuristics, and decision-making rules that are not hassle-free but may arrive at erroneous

conclusions (Nickerson 1998). Neuroscience supports behavioral finance in the form of neuroeconomics; using fMRI to examine the brain when making economic choices. They demonstrate that cognitive biases and emotions have neural substrates and provide evidence of how these biases phenomenally influence actual current decision making. For instance, loss aversion which Kahneman and Tversky (1979) discussed that the effects of loss are felt much more deeply, and for a longer period by people than the effects of a gain of equal proportion (Saltık et al., 2023). This is complemented by neuroimaging evidence showing that the expectation of loss triggers areas in the brain related with aversive affect, including the anterior insula.

Even loss aversion by default triggers the disposition effect the tendency to sell stocks that are performing well quickly, and hold onto those that are performing poorly for too long. This behavior is due to the fact that the amount of pain one feels when he/she wakes up from the reality that he/she has incurred losses is greater than the amount of pleasure one gets when he/she wakes up to the reality that he/she has made gains. Kahneman and Tversky (1991) estimated loss aversion coefficient equal to about 2.25 meaning people are roughly twice as sensitive to losses than to gains (Saltık et al., 2023). More generally, this strong and consistent result highlights the importance of loss aversion in financial decision-making to a more emotion-driven, reactive investing strategy.

Another cognitive bias that works well for investor decisions is confirmation bias whereby a person will look for more information that supports their existing decision while disregarding any details that disagree with this decision. The good thing is that it does not last long, but while in operation, it may cause investors' perception as well as analysis to be pulled off balance. Indeed, as the literature indicates confirmation bias is a well understood fallacy in human thinking systems and influences how data are evaluated and processed (Nickerson,1998). For instance an investor may have made a certain stock his or her favorite due to passion and end up only looking for positive opinions while the rest of the information that ranges from negative findings are usually left unnoticed.

Regret aversion is when people intentionally include possible regrets into their decision making process which can lead to decision making that is overzealously cautious. This anticipation of regretting a decision can lead to lack of risk taking and a marked preference for those situations that can least be regretted. This starts suggesting that the anticipatory regret can indeed influence real-time options, as a person engages in decision making especially in financial markets with real time opportunity costs and is bound to react to it, in how it would be seen later (Pilat, 2024).

Essentially, what these biases tell the reader is that the relationship between these biases is essential in comprehending its larger impact to investors. For example, the investor who is experiencing loss aversion will continue losing investment than expected due to bankruptcy loss aversion whereby an investor hangs on to a bad stock because of refusal to accept they made a wrong decision with confirmation bias where they will look only at information that supports their decision. At the same time, regret aversion would prevent them from taking high risk activities that could be profitable but also very dangerous therefore having a relatively low risk portfolio that would not yield high returns A fMRI analysis of these biases has shown that different areas of the brain respond differently to perceived gains and losses (Saltık, 2023). For instance, people facing the problem of loss become more active in zones associated with such negative emotions as anxiety, which can also lead to further detrimental consequences for their subsequent economical choices. In this regard, we see that loss aversion, confirmation bias and regret aversion coalesce to form a matrix within which investors are likely to engage in irrational behaviors regarding their investment choices.

Finally, behavioural finance is an important and complex approach to identifying how and why individuals come to make financial decisions and incorporating this knowledge into theories that guide practical decision making. Providing insights into how cognitive losses aversion, confirmation bias, and regret aversion influence investment decisions help the investors to have wise strategies that they set up to meet the investors' financial goals without much impact from the emotionality aspects of such biases.

Decision-Making Biases and Their Implications

First, the cognitive biases that underlie perspective taking, experience and reactions reoccur throughout the decision-making process for investments, often dictating irrational behaviours that may harm investors.

Anchoring Bias

Anchoring is a result of decision makers' relying on a piece of data, especially an important one that has occurred in the past, to make further decisions. This fixation can limit their capacity of shifting from the prior

expectations of the data to always affect their investment timing and strategy (Hayes, 2024). For example, an investor who bought a share at a specific price is likely to have lost his/her patience to sell the stock at a lower price than this specific price, because he/she will think that the price of the stock will rise again to this specific price.

Regret Aversion

Regret aversion is a concept that describes a person's wish to decide not to pursue one choice in which he or she presumably will eventually regret not choosing the other option (Pilat, 2024). This emotional driver leads to decisions that are principally due to an effort to avoid a disappointment as opposed to concrete or abstract goal achievement. In regret aversive persons, especially at the level of cognition, more focus is directed when avoiding a loss rather than when seeking a gain. For instance, one can choose to invest a lot of cash during the early stages of ones economic activities with view to avoiding what Pilat (2024) termed as 'pain of not having enough money to fund ones expenses in post working years. Regret aversion has substantial systematic effects The analysis of regret aversion is most effective when examining financial markets. Some investors purchase the shares to dodge being locked out due to high revenues which other investors made on the market, or by selling the shares early when they have made losses which is not the right thing to do. They may lead to such a market event like asset bubbles and where the price of the securities reaches a level that is not justified by its fundamental factors thanks to actions by certain investors who are driven by regret aversion.

Moreover, it reveals that regret aversion distorts the financial decisions through the overbidding in auctions as most of the buyers request much more than the actual real value because of the losses they would incur if they did not seize opportunities.Pilat (2024) also appreciate the neuroscience evidence pointing that regret aversion is seated in orbitofrontal cortex and amygdala and is mostly linked to the emotional aspect of regret. Prospect theory thus supports what has been said to mean that people give more obvious responses to losses than to gains. Consequently, decisions are often taken with the view of minimizing loss, or the probability of regret another sign of self-serving bias among investors.

Overconfidence Bias

Overconfidence bias can lead to risk-takers discounting the risks of their investments that they take. This bias makes investors think that they are capable of being able to forecast the markets or even be capable of making successful trades more so than is actually possible. On the other hand, the risk-averse investor may experience an overestimation of the potential adverse effects of taking risks; thereby minimizing his investment options (Aguilar, 2021).

Hindsight Bias

Hindsight bias emerges when investors recall information wrongly when making their decision. Certain individuals perceive their decisions, that materialized into gains, to have always been so after an event, while other might feel the onset of regret after seeing other people produce good outcomes with the kind of risks that they had taken, which alters their further investment behaviors (Hayes, 2024). This bias have the potential to result in overconfidence in ones own forecast skills and this only worsens the decisions made in subsequent investments.

In conclusion, it runs without saying that recognising such heuristics is much important especially to investors who invest in the share markets. This way, one and only can enhance his/her investing policy/strategy and come up with right decisions that pertain his/her target objectives and aims.

Key Concepts in Investor Behavior and Psychology

This behaviour is typically influenced by risk profiles of individuals and hence most investors are categorised globally as conservative clients and those who are willing to take high risk. An exploration of the psychological attributes of such investment methods has profound characteristics based on the Prospect Theory and Regret Theory. According to Prospect Theory by Kahneman and Tversky the decision made by investors do not depend upon the difference of values but depend upon the changes in a given point. Therefore, when faced with possible losses individuals behave risk averse and would rather accept lesser monetary return than take a chance in order to gain more (Hayes, 2024; Sanghvi, 2024). This tendency is connected with the loss aversion: losses that have comparable size with gains are eliciting stronger reactions, and investors consciously or unconsciously avoid risks when they can potentially lose their money (Spendelow, 2024; Sanghvi, 2024).

Regret Theory explores the feelings of the investors when they are in a position to choose but result in a negative outcome to the available other options. It indicates that the use of the ostrich effect as a decision-making tool might be substantially impeded by the expectations of regret especially in the case of the most cautious investors. It has been proved that the conservative investor displays regret aversion, which means that an investor prefers to avoid regret rather than risk, including gaining more performances (Wangzhou et al., 2021).

Gazel (2015) has claimed that this psychological attitude may cause such individuals to end up in the wrong cycle where their fear of making wrong investment decision make them over-controlled hence they fail to exploit what may be potential opportunities to make good investment. Literature review also showed that loss aversion and the disposition effect are some of the main cognitive biases that lead to risky financial decisions through enhancement of loss perception and constraining the cardinal virtue of risk taking (Irfan et al., 2023). Some of these biases include; these biases lead the conservative investors to cling on to their poor performing stocks for far too long since the investor does not want to take any loss at the same time he or she misses out on the better stocks.

Conservatism is given a justificatory role through the appeal to the priority goals of high executives, particularly the maintenance of capital. However, this approach is a disadvantage because it means owners may overlook other potential investments, particularly during periods of economic upturns when assets with the best returns are realized. For conservative investors there is one thing to note that as they watch risk takers make money, they undergo deep cognitive dissonance. This conflict within their mind, their conservative measures and the remorse of not seizing any other profitable opportunities may well deepen their slow motion to take high risks (Sanghvi, 2024).

Impulsive feelings including regrets do not confine themselves in personal decisions on investing and thus operationalizes negative effects within the systems of financial markets. When it comes to regret aversion, it may lead to such phenomena as asset bubbles, that is prices that have been deliberately driven up through mass behaviour of investors. Indeed, if conservative investors start feeling the consequences of unused available funds as an opportunity cost, they are likely to act very impulsively and that leads often to over-valuation in ballooning markets. They can then burst and that leads to large fluctuations that affect the stock values and everyone panics and sells the stocks. As a result, a new factor, which is financial literacy, appears as an essential element to combat regret aversion and to achieve congruency with rational actions with regards to investments. Greater knowledge strengthens the investors' understanding of the market and the rewards that can come from taking more risks, (Wangzhou et al., 2021). Research show that, experienced investors do not fall prey to the effect of cognitive biases thus are in a vantage position to make rational decisions that extend beyond instincts that are triggered by fear of loss and regret respectively. Numeracy makes investors to analyse the investment proposals in a rational manner, the decision making not being influenced by emotions.

Although conservatism in investment is quite seducing, the deep regret accompanied with conservatism long-term psychological and financial effects may discourage investors. Apprehension to approach the market and contract a decline on fellow counterparts in a particular period and regret of missing good stocks can pull down these investors to a chronic underperformer status as compared to other aggressive investors. While risk-takers love high fluctuations; the steady but low-risk investors may see a great divergence in return on investment coupled with conflict of self-doubt and regret of non-participation (Wangzhou et al., 2021). Both theories have a strong bearing of psychological and emotional characteristics of decision making. The Prospect Theory that was put forward by Kahneman and Tversky (1979) is a theory seeks to explain how people behave when making decision under conditions of risk and/ or uncertainty. It posits that the emotional response is Ary chaperoned to loss than equal rests, this is known as loss aversion. This aspect of human behavior tends to be exploited to explain why investors may be conservative, or even non rational when averse to potential losses. Regret Theory, on the band hand, concerns with how the expected regret guides the decisions especially when it comes to investing since people avoid making decisions that lead to negative results (Zeelenberg et al., 2021).Therefore, this paper showed that the two theories of Prospect Theory and Regret Theory help in understanding the emotions that investors undergo.

By learning these psychological factors, individual decision-making is improved as well as the overall market activities. People have experienced regret and loss aversion and should change their investment approach by focusing on a balance of gains and less risk. This process of building up 'emotional capital', the

concept that Fischer Black contributed to the sphere is all about learning to use risk as a weapon instead of being afraid of it, and, for that, adopting such truly practical skill as financial literacy.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

The present and proposed studies compare and contrast the characteristics, approaches, and psychological consequences of being a conservative or a risk-taking investor, major impacts on the investment behaviors and the performance.

Risk-Averse Investors : Loving of status quo /avoiders mostly avoid high risks and volatility in the production and deposit of their capital. That is why, bonds and bond funds, money market instruments and shares of large capitalized companies are bought by people to receive more stable and predictable income according to the experience of investing in such types of the assets (TPT Wealth, 2024). The preferred objective for the majority of these investors is to avert loss of capital and frequently amass steady income. This is even more so the case for new investors or those who are planning to retire as they need to safeguard their money regardless of the move on the stock exchange that is; Gigante (2024). Since they are more careful and avoid risks, these kinds of investors feel regret and dissatisfaction when seeing that people who take more risk earn more. This regret can be due to a perceived loss of opportunity when the market environment is right for utilizing higher risk to its advantage (Mayorga, 2023).

Conservative investments provide relatively safer earnings and outperform risky, aggressive investments to conserve capital during an economic crisis, van Vliet (2022) posits. However, it has led to lower average returns than other, riskier investments – especially during bull runs wherein high-risk takers reap big on massive fluctuations in prices (Hayes, 2024). As pointed out by Massachusetts Financial Services Company (2024) based on direct comparison of the Conservative Formula to low risk mean reverence portfolios, additional returns is sizable. The strategy keeps volatility at similar levels and achieves average returns that are some 2-3% per annum higher than average low-volatility strategies. This performance advantage unveils how, on bull markets specifically, the conservative formula holds a strategy to precisely exploit capital gains in value, while still maintaining an equilibrium to the overall market, risk profile. This strategy is good from both net payout yield and price momentum, in contrast to low volatility strategies, which are able to get high yield with defensiveness while being able to avoid problems of high accusation and poor momentum.

As explained by van Vliet (2022) there are specific features that make a stock a conservative stock thus explaining their steady returns. These include low volatility that measure insulating the stocks from extreme oscillations in price, high net payout ratios, and high net pay out yields good sign of substantial cash returns to shareholders, positive price trend; referring to general movement of stock prices in an upward direction. Such attributes assist in managing risks characteristic of a given market that may be negative during an economic downturn still the conservative investor does not have to exit the market (van Vliet, 2022).

In contrast, speculative stocks are characterized by high volatility. This has a connotation of volatilities in the firm’s stock prices, a low net payout yield: this points towards an ability of firms to generate limited returns back to investors, and frail momentum: this has implications of poor performances for the firms in the future (van Vliet, 2022). In the analyzed periods these stocks have generally varied and often offered less performance than conservative stocks. This divergence is magnified most during bear runs, where speculative stocks decline sharply, afford the required safety investor needs to cut losses. Conservative stocks are associated with lower risk than other stocks, and they give a significant amount of protection during a bear market. It usually performs better than the speculative stock because they are volatile and sensitive to market shifts and are mostly affected by bigger dips. Research evidence shows that during recessionary time, value stocks as conservative-type stocks perform better than the growth-styled philosophical speculative stocks (van Vliet, 2022).

However, that isn’t to say that conservative stocks do not have their weaknesses; they were slower during specific economic downturns including the Spanish flu pandemic of 1917 and the first quarter of the COVID-19 pandemic of 2020. In these periods, although they might have been somewhat less favorable for the conservative stocks than for the overall market, they are also significantly less damaging for such stocks in comparison to speculation ones (Frydman, & Benmelech, 2020). Also, one of the characteristics of conservative stock is good performance particularly in bull market phase. Hear as opposed to speculative stocks maybe

sometimes have better performance but may also have worse position, conservative stocks are able to maintain good position hence benefit the investment portfolios. They profit from a defensive mode at the same time with less risk confronting upward market movements which in turn contributes to their long-term performance (van Vliet, 2022).

Last but not the least the robust nature of the conservative strategy in all classes of macroeconomic environment established proof to it. The stock has always risen higher than the market during recession, war, and when deflation rates are high. What this means is that low risk anomaly is not anchored to some cycle in the economy or a particular environment in the markets, which is why long-term strategic investment in conservative stocks makes good sense.

Risk-Taking Investors: Speculative investors deliberately search for higher returns with more risky securities such as stocks, securities and bonds for emerging markets and real estates. They may be invested more toward those growth stocks, options, or whatever they consider risky but more rewarding such as cryptos (Rainier, 2024). Speculative investors embark on their investments with a view of short-term returns not sparing any opportunity to get high returns through risky products like equities, hedge funds and cryptocurrencies. Indeed, this strategy might cause known errors, for example: junking trailing returns, switching investments prematurely, and having low tolerance of market volatility. The above behaviors are not very useful for the attainment of steady accumulation of wealth in the long run. To eliminate such vices, risk-takers should be patient and resistant to selling their investments during volatility unless their underlying factors of investment change significantly, or seek to engage a reputable asset manager who can restrain them from taking wrong decisions.

The most important objective for the risk-takers thus lies in capital gains, often accompanied by considerable near-term volatility of the value of the investment. Especially, young investors benefit from this strategy because they have many years ahead to overcome drawdowns (Gigante, 2024). A conservative investor is typically an investors who aims at protecting his/her capital and earning a certain amount of income without venturing into risking high returns for high risks. They like more stability and less risk hence they choose not to be affected by certain market risks that would erode their investment. When planning for retirement, every conservative investor avoids risks and hence optimizes his/her investment strategies to look for more safety.Conservative investment portfolios are mainly interested in managing risks and at the same time achieving some capital appreciation. This approach considers relative safety compared to potential return's and is well suited to the conservative investor who has the goal of guarding principal (Gigante, 2024). To reduce risks, stock conservative portfolios prefer fixed income investments as their fundamental layer (Gigante, 2024).They normally include; U.S. Treasury as a debt whose obligations are guaranteed by full faith and guarantee of the U.S government thus making it a safe investment, cooperative bonds which are bonds that are sound fundamental with little likelihood of the issuers defaulting on their obligations thus giving steady income return and finally investment-grade bond funds which are funds that invest in high quality bonds and thus give a fairly secure investment but with relatively good returns.

In addition to fixed-income securities, cash equivalents play a vital role in ensuring security and liquidity within the portfolio. Common cash equivalents include: certificates of deposit (time deposits that pay a fixed interest rate for a specified term, offering safety and guaranteed returns), money market funds (Investment funds that invest in short-term, high-quality securities, known for their stability and easy access to cash), also feature prominently, providing both security and liquidity (Gigante, 2024). Accoridng to Gigante (2024), when investing in equities, conservative investors typically focus on large-cap stocks or dividend-paying stocks. These stocks tend to be less volatile than small or mid-cap stocks due to their established market presence and stable earnings. Furthermore, broad market index funds may be included in conservative portfolios to enhance diversification while limiting risk exposure. Also, deferred fixed annuities is another type, which is also within the conservative portfolios, these help one guarantee fixed return in case they want further security.

Historically, the conservative asset allocation has yet an average total return of 4.4% per year over the years of 2003 up to 2023. This performance trajectory represents a growth orientation that may not be spectacular but is relatively stable. But it oscillated for that duration; it recorded the highest return of 19.26% in 2009 and the lowest loss of 16.22% in 2008. This came about as a result of hitting bottom lines as a result of the financial crisis upheavals around the globe decreasing consolidated sources of asset value (Gigante, 2024).Thus, diversification over classes of assets can also be named one of the major principles of conservative investment approach as it plays a major role in minimizing general portfolio risk. Thus, all the development of

conservative investing approach aimed at diversification across asset classes, plays an important role in decreasing the overall portfolio risk level. By diversification to fixed income instruments, cash equivalent and specific stocks the conservative portfolios are able to reduce its losses in case of occurrence of bear markets. It has especially been advantageous during the period of economic whim because the poor-performing asset classes can be offset by other good performing asset classes (Gigante, 2024).

Conservative investment strategies are particularly well-suited for retirees or individuals approaching retirement, as these investors typically prioritize capital preservation and a predictable income stream (Stalter & McVearry, 2024). Such strategies provide a stable foundation for managing funds when individuals are likely to rely on these assets for their living expenses during retirement (Nationwide, 2024). According to Peak Financial Planning (2024), conservative investment strategies focus on preserving capital rather than seeking high returns, which aligns with the objectives of individuals who may no longer have a significant time horizon to recover from potential losses. Emphasizing low-risk investments, such as fixed-income securities, ensures that retirees can safeguard their assets against market volatility (Nationwide, 2024).

In addition to capital preservation, conservative strategies also provide a predictable income stream. This characteristic is critical for retirees who need consistent cash flow to cover regular expenses, such as living costs and healthcare (Hicks, 2024). Investments in high-quality bonds, dividend-paying stocks, and annuities contribute to a reliable income source, allowing retirees to manage their finances effectively without the stress of market fluctuations (Stalter & McVearry, 2024). Conservative investment strategies are especially appealing to investors with a low risk tolerance or those who require liquidity for immediate needs. For these individuals, the potential for loss is a significant concern, making low-risk options like money market funds and certificates of deposit attractive choices (Franklin Templetonprod (n.d); Stalter & McVearry, 2024). Such investments provide not only safety but also quick access to funds, ensuring that investors can meet unforeseen expenses (Stalter & McVearry, 2024). While some investors may be tempted to adjust their exposure to equities based on economic forecasts, such an approach is generally not recommended. Frequent changes in investment strategy based on short-term predictions can lead to missed opportunities and increased transaction costs due to trading fees and taxes (Lee, 2021; Stalter & McVearry, 2024). A stable, conservative approach that avoids reactive changes tends to yield better long-term results, helping individuals stay on track with their financial goals without succumbing to market timing risks (Stalter & McVearry, 2024).

One of the key considerations for conservative investors is loss aversion, where the fear of losing capital might cause them to miss out on potential growth. For instance, during periods of market expansion, conservative strategies may not fully capitalize on upward trends, which could limit returns. Retirees may also face the risk of their conservative investments underperforming relative to inflation, which could lead to a depletion of savings over time. Therefore, it is advisable for conservative investors to consult with a financial professional to ensure their investment strategies are aligned with long-term objectives, particularly in retirement planning. While they may find excitement in market volatility and the potential for significant gains, risk-taking investors are also prone to emotional extremes. Their readiness to embrace risks means they can experience high satisfaction when successful but may suffer from severe regret during downturns, particularly if they make impulsive decisions based on short-term market movements (Rainier, 2024; Hayes, 2024). Likewise, performance in volatile markets is risky investment usually equates to increased earnings in the development cycle of the market. Yet these investors must also take much bigger hits during the bear runs and hence their financial journeys are more erratic (Mayorga, 2023). Research that compared portfolios with risk-loving characteristics to other portfolios established that during periods of market recovery, high risk portfolios tend to perform better but they are substantially worse in periods of market decline, pointing to the fact that the risky strategies may be highly unpredictable (van Vliet, 2022).

SYNTHESIS OF FINDINGS ACROSS THEORETICAL AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORKS

A comprehensive understanding of investor behavior is gained from the synthesis of the findings of the various theoretical and conceptual frameworks evidenced by the inclusion of psychological factors including risk taking ability, emotions, and cognitive biases respectively. Combining these views enhances the comprehension of the psychological factors that influence the investment decision making and rationality for the scope of their decision making .

Rational Choice Theory (RCT) vs. Behavioral Finance Models (BFM): The Nexus

Rational Choice Theory (RCT) forms the basis of classical theory of economics and propounds that investors make choices on some form of utility and risk return maximization. Nevertheless, this model has been criticized for having no attention to the psychological aspects. Whereas, the Behavioral Finance Models (BFM) reject this rationality approach, arguing that human influence plays a vital role in strengthening the theories embedded in psychology in the fashion that producers and investors make their decisions based on psychological influences ((Barberis & Thaler, 2003)). These models suggest that investors base their decisions not on rationality but on behaviour that includes overconfidence, loss aversion, and mental accounting, which makes the investors' decisions different from what RCT would predict (Kahneman, 2017).For example, BFM notes that investors are bound to systematic errors of judgment in light of cognitive infrastructures that cause people to overestimate their knowledge or reject new information that goes against their prior convictions (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). These factors when introduced by BFM offer a better understanding concerning market behavior as compared to RCT since it does not capture some human aspects.

Prospect Theory Vs Regret Theory

Prospect theory first proposed by Kahneman and Tversky classified decisions on the use of resources as either gain framed or loss framed. Hence, when deciding on potential loses individuals reject more certain but low-risk options for uncertain but potentially higher returns, this is known as risk aversion (Hayes, 2024; Sanghvi, 2024). This tendency also relates to the belief of the loss aversion theory which suggest that losses are comparatively more painful than gains; therefore, investors' avoid risks that could make them lose their capital (Gries, 2024; Saad, 2024. Regret theory looks at the emotional reactions of investor whenever their decisions yield negative consequences as compared to other options. It implies that decision making processes can be substantially influenced by fear of regret especially on the part of the conservative investors. So, the investigation has proven that con rational investors do not like regret and, thus, rejecting more demanding opportunities which may result in high gains (Wangzhou et al., 2021). The results inform that the two biases can seriously affect conservative investors, who is experiencing feelings of regret associated with the inadequate results after observing the success of other risk lucky investors. This psychological pressure can lead to a cycle whereby they fail to make some groundbreaking investment decisions for fear of regretting their decisions in the future, a factor that finally works against them by denying them good returns on some of their investment options (Hayes, 2024). In the opinion of Gazel (2015), such psychological pattern can lead to the formation of cyclic known as 'paralysis through analysis' where due to the fear of making the wrong investment decisions, such investors fail to take advantage of potentially positive returns on their investments. Even the research works also suggest that cognitive errors like the loss aversion and the disposition effects add to the irrationality inherently exist in the financial decisions and make them worse by enhancing the proportions of the loss and that impacts the risk-taking mechanisms (Irfan et al., 2022). These biases can make investors with a conservative bent of mind stick to a loser longer and pass up on better stocks in the process of avoiding the realization of losses.

The relevance of these insights for practice is vast and affects every independent investor as wells as financial specialist. The understanding of the existence of emotional and self-centered bias within investors enables the incorporation of the construction of efficient working anti-bias management systems that reduce these adverse effects on decision making among professionals. To the investors it is useful to know about these cognitive biases and emotional states that might be experienced during period of turbulence within the markets. From this self analysis, when combined with the approach to risk management, it becomes possible for the investors to avoid being swayed by short term market volatility and focus on long term objectives. In conclusion, the evaluating of investment from behavioral finance perspective can help investors to make rational decisions that are encouraging from the perspective of financial objectives (Paudel & Yedgarian, 2024).

While the above two theories give prior theories or approaches on investor behavior a more enriched understanding. The expectation of the regret can make people become more risk-averse because people do not want to invest in assets that might lead to regret. This emotional reaction is especially experienced when the outcome of the decision is unknown adding to the confusion of making a decision (Loomes & Sugden, 1982).

Emotional Responses and Decision-Making: Regret and Emotions Vs Behavioral Patterns

The synthesis also emphasizes the importance of emotions and specifically regret to understanding investors' behavior. Regret aversion can further create a position where investors refuse to take risky business

decisions because this will only make them regret their decisions in future (Orford, 2023). Malla Mudrack developed regret lens and found that particularly conservative individuals who are more loss-averse may feel regret when decisions taken do not produce positive results. It reduces their overall rationality to make the best decision on investment leading to high risk aversion (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). Regret can also evoke behavioural biases like sunk cost; the tendency to continue funding apparently unprofitable ventures because it would be 'worse' to cut them adrift, proving that responses to such stimuli tend to generate suboptimal results (Zeelenberg et al., 2021). Portfolio managers often show behavioral trends caused by reactions to emotions. For example, people who have learnt their lessons the hard way may develop cold feet over similar situations in the future. While the first model may emerge as clever and smart, the second model may become overconfident and thus over-extend himself. These patterns are of paramount significance when developing the investment strategies taking into account the psychological profile of clients, since the investors' emotional experiences of the past determine their actions in the future (Barberis & Thaler, 2003).

Rational Choice Theory (RCT) vs Behavioral Finance Models (BFM)

The Rational Choice Theory (RCT) and the Behavioral Finance Models (BFM) are compared and contrasted to identify differences in the two methods that help explain investors' behaviors, and show how each perspective views and analyzes decision-making processes. Rational Choice Theory (RCT) is also a normative approach to making decisions because it asserts that every individual looks at the behavioural options available and makes a decision that would benefit him or her most (Paulus &MoneyGeek, 2024). In the RCT view, such decisions should be long sighted, meeting the current needs as well as future welfare (Becker, 2006). The theory is based on the belief that people behave in a rational manner and always select behaviour that will give them the best results but studies show that this is not usually so. For example, behavioral finance explains that self-control, feelings or group pressure compel individuals to act in an unreasonable, illogical and unpredictable manner (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). When practicing RCT to financial behaviours, to ensure that people stick to their goals, it is advisable to have; short-term and long-term goals based on the chosen financial decision. Setting more of a spending plan assists to monitor the amount spent and to promote consistency with these objectives. Also, savings and investments automation eliminates the necessity to make frequent decisions, thus contributing to steady improvement (Paulus & MoneyGeek, 2024). Another behavioural economic strategy used byRCT is the use of waiting list before making compulsive purchases and mindful purchasing that makes people concentrate on what fundamental asset can do to them (Adkisson, 2008). These strategies put much focus on making sound financial decisions that bring positive impacts on life in the long run.

Nevertheless, actual financial decisions contradict the principles of RCT most of the times. For instance, many people invest in familiar stocks with out acknowledging that there is something as familiarity bias while others do not invest in a diversified portfolio to minimize risks (Adkisson, 2008). One of the most characteristic violations indicated is the failure to discharge small principle and low rate loans before large principle and high rate loans, even though the latter holds more net benefit when prioritized (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). Similarly, individuals may take an overdraft at a high cost but also keep cash in saving account yielding little returns or even at a lower cost; decisions that do not make economic sense (Shefrin & Statman, 1985). Some of these are purchasing something based on an impulse, or inappropriately hanging on to a stock or share that is performing poorly because people fear losing money, which is a case of loss aversion, therefore proving that bias can predetermine ones decisions regarding money (Tversky & Kahneman, 1991).RCT, however persuasive that may sound, has its drawbacks. However, it postulates that people always act in a deliberate way while researching shows that due to emotional influence, heuristics and social influences that interfere with rationality (Krämer, 2013)). Also, RCT does not take into consideration the factors in people's decision making processes such as culture, pressures among others (Hofstede, 2001). First, RCT assumes that the way people should or could behave is rather different from how they actually do; second, RCT is restricted to mere guesses about the complexity of decision making (Gigerenzer, 2007).

The new models of behavioral finance (BFM) could not be a part of RCT since they incorporate psychological aspects, perceptions and feelings as newer influences of investors. Unlike RCT which postulates that investors make decisions by evaluating all the available data and likely outcomes that will increase their utility, BFM avers that s investors often make decsions through rules of thumb or heuristics that result in systematic biases in the decision-making process (Krämer, 2013); Thaler, 1980). Such models know that people are not rational in the decision-making process, and decision making involve emotions, prejudice, and the

manner in which information is presented.One of the central aspects mentioned in BFM is cognitive biases such as overconfidence, loss aversion, and anchors that affect the investor's perception of risks and returns. For example, there is overconfidence bias, in which investors have overestimated self-efficiency and thus take more risk than they should (Barber & Odean, 2001). Loss aversion is another cognitive bias by Kahneman & Tversk considered when people prefer to avoid losses than gain equal profits which makes them to hold more to losses ventures. Anchoring bias, another cognitive errors, force investors fixate on the first information like the first price of a stock even when it is irrelevant information (Tversky & Kahneman, 1974). The significance of BFM in practice cannot be overstated as the following sections are going to describe analyzing market phenomena such as bubbles and crashes. While RCT considers the investors to act out of a purely economic self-interest, BFM recognizes that sentiments may well explain many market events. For instance, the *disposition effect* is a primary example of how investors choose to sell their winning stocks too soon and hold their losing stocks for far too long – all of which departs from rationality due to emotional responses to gains and losses (Shefrin & Statman, 1985). They conclude that these behavioural patterns imply that investors actually are not rational in their decisions as they do not act in their best hailed self interest economically. BFM also emphasize the role of context in decision making; it means that a peculiar presentation of the information can influence investments to a considerable extent. The framing effect shows that the same option presented in a different way will give a different result. For instance, investors may have higher levels of acceptance to particular investment demands when packaged in terms of the benefits to gain rather than the loss they would suffer (Tversky & Kahneman, 1981). This points out the fact that literally, investors do not act rational and their behavior depends not only on the information about the situation, but also on its presentation.

In conclusion, Behavioral Finance Models are more rooted and give psychological bearings about the new model of an investor. These models reject some of the settings of Rational Choice Theory pointing out that bias and emotions are influential in the market trends. In addition to explaining the existence of such phenomenon as market bubble, crash and other phenomena that cannot be explained by rational models BFM provides a real life view of how markets work.

PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS FOR INVESTMENT STRATEGIES

i. Adaptive Strategies

The findings suggest that both RCT and BFM may help in building adaptive investment solutions. For instance, understanding that BFM has described investors as having loss aversion and regret aversion means that investors need to create portfolios that avoid such psychological pitfalls. The emotional factors that are related to the following are well described by the economics of noise; this means that strategies such as diversification and predetermined exit points are useful in relieving investors of the market feels in order that they carry out predetermined plans ((Barberis & Thaler, 2003)).

ii. Investor Education and Awareness

Since working with emotions is so important in investment decisions, investor training should go beyond financial analysis and also feature programs on psychological and emotional bias. Therefore, through such circumstances, educational programs that enhance self- awareness and enable investors to overcome their biases will enable investors to minimize on bias decisions and hence enhance overall performances of the investment (Orford, 2023).

iii. Market Behavior Insights

The synthesis also presents the idea that knowledge of investor behavior patterns may also prove useful in explaining market trends. Strong- emotion reactions enter than regret aversion and fear of loss that make many retail investors to sell their stocks during down turn leading to market inefficiencies that intelligent merchants use to their advantage. Such emotions are essential to point out to Investors since they enable them to avoid or minimize on the risks that are involved in investment especially under volatile circumstances (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979).

The integration of findings across theoretical and conceptual frameworks also points to the fact that emotions and Cognitive Biases are crucial in influencing investor decisions. While RCT adds insight into economic decision making on investments, BFM adds a richer understanding because it admits psychological processes that influence investment decisions. In using elements of EUnion, it is possible to find out how

investors will be able to make bam strategies that will suit rational as well as emotions people in the financiers markets hence enabling more effective decision-making techniques to be brought out.

APPLICATION OF THE THEORETICAL MODELS TO REGRET IN INVESTMENT

Regret happens to be one of the important emotions that can be used to explain the behaviour of investors and is discussed in the framework of both, the Rational Choice Theory (RCT) and the Behavioral Finance Models (BFM). All these frameworks offer different perspectives of regret impact in relation to investment decisions and thereby form part of the increasing body of knowledge in psychology of investment decision making.

Rational Choice Theory and Regret

When discussed within the context of RCT regret is seen as being driven by lower decision making, which is inherent whenever investors fail to play the maximisation game. As maintained by RCT, the decisions made by investors should lead to choices with the highest expected return, reducing regret by the avoidance of choosing undesirably based on elementary heuristics (von Neumann et al., 1944). Having issued that caveat, regret in RCT occurs when an investor's choice leads to a less favorable outcome than an option that could have been used. It would conceptually cause the pre-selves to seek to control and avoid the post-selves' regret, making the future decisions less likely to create regret (Bell ,1982). However, little contextual information is captured in an RCT which helps explain why people change their behaviour such as how the feeling of regret can persist and affect future decisions even when purely rational, economic theory says would not make sense.

Behavioral Finance Models and Regret

The behavioralfFinance Models (BFM) incorporate greater details regarding regret since they are based on psychological biases and Elasticity and mental accounting of which RCT does not. Regret leading to deviations from rational utility and maximize principle is well illustrated by BFM where emotions play an important role in economic decisions. Another theory supported in BFM is loss aversion implying that losses are felt more considerably than equivalent gains are liked. Those investors may be more likely to detect the potential for losing money hence, locking those defined benefits in a particular investment even if it is not financially beneficial (Tversky & Kahneman, 1991).

Regret and Decision-Making Biases

Regret also affects other decisions related to betting on an investment decision that may be affected by such things as overconfidence and self-attribution bias. Huber and K provision that overconfidence results in underestimation of risks and hence, investors are more likely to regret when results are negative. Likewise, self-attribution bias might lead investors to overestimate personal contribution whenever their invested portfolios yield a good return, and outside forces when a portfolio yields bad returns, making the regret more emotional for the investor (Barberis Thaler, 2003). These biases can cause more mistakes in investing and if investors are trying to minimize loss through regret, it results in inferior decisions that they make on their investment.

The effects of regret have numerous implications to the behavior of the market, especially as a result of distortion of investment decisions. Self-Hand Called Self-Regret/Hindsight bias / Disposition effect Investors who act based on regret may present behaviours as selling winners too early, holding losers for as long as possible in an attempt to avoid recognizing loss as stated by Shefrin & Statman (1985). This behavior it is normally irrational but can be attributed psychological motivation of regret avoidance. Analyze regret and other emotional decision biases so that those who invest can understand how to create better investment strategies. Acknowledging that behavioral finance promotes the alleviating of regret aversion, which means that strategies that provide ways of getting out of investment that are predetermined could lower feelings that lead to making wrong decisions in investments (Shefrin, 2000).

CONCLUSION

All the strategies that have been highlighted above are about getting rid of the psychological aspect which hampers the investment decisions. Such shift facilitates better understanding of the part emotions play in investment decisions and helps enhance predictive value of the process for investors, while preventing them

from ruining a good investment by unchecked feelings. In the light of the developments in the field of finance, the future studies can extend this line of research to understand the role of behavioral finance, advancement in technology and investors. For example, the effect of automated trading system on investor psychology is another topic that needs more exploration. Further investigation of specific aspects of how emotions can be effectively regulated while approaching the investment decision-making process will remain important for both expanding the academic understanding of the role of emotion in investing as well as for providing practical improvements to the methods used in investment management.

This literature review offer huge amount of information and understanding towards the interactions between psychological elements and investors especially concerning conservative and risky investment styles. Why follows Understanding the emotional and psychological features of such strategies are crucial to mastering for investors wishing to address the problems of the decision-making, in the sphere of financial trading. People should understand that such feelings as fear, regret, and overconfidence interfere with rational decisions regarding investment actions and might doom an individual to results opposite to those he or she expects.

Finally, it is significant to note the psychological basis of the investment plans in order to study how regret and the fear of loss are primarily pertaining to the investors, especially in the regime of risky and defensive investment strategy.

The external risks arising from these psychological findings underscore the importance of a better understanding of investor psychology. What is most important and powerful is that the affectivity of investment decisions is mitigated by identifying and Intuitively and freely managing the emotional systems involved in the investment process thereby decreasing and controlling uncertainties that characterize most investment processes. This holistic approach towards investor psychology helps in designing better investment strategies while ensuring the stable and secure of individual and market funds.

DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

The analysis of two research questions by using different theoretical approaches is valuable for further examination as well as practical aspects in investor behavior and investment management. It is therefore imperative that one has an acknowledgement of a rational model whereby there is an understanding of how decision making process interacted with psychological factors and emotional effects to make an investment decision.

More importantly, these findings can be used to design investment products depending on the characteristics of the investor, including risk taking propensity, emotional responses to investment and other related factors. Such observations are crucial not only for expectation formation concerning investment returns but also for formulating strategies that are consistent with investors' behavior.

It is concluded that the identification of the gaps within the existing literature offers a number of potential avenues for further research, most notably with respect to the psychological factors that may impact on investment. An area demanding investigation in the future is the analysis of the impact of emotional biases on investment decisions and their subsequent results.

Although most of the current literature looks at the short-term market impact and short-term decisions, a detailed look into the development of these biases could shed more light on how investment emotions such as conservatism, loss aversion, regret, and overconfidence persist over time and affect the investor's portfolio duration. This would help in improving the strategies of a long-term investment period.

There is also a possibility of carrying out more research on how various factors affect investment decisions, particularly, in relation to the level of socioeconomic development. Potential investors become involved in investment strategies within their different socioeconomic status determined by factors like the ability to take risks, understanding of the investment instruments and probability of loosing, and their attitude towards fluctuation in the market.

Knowledge of these differences may help design better market investments and FIPs that take into account the circumstances of various investor categories. This research could make investment advice appear more comprehensive to include an understanding of an individual investor persona.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR INVESTORS

These psychological and emotions biases can be elusive when it comes to decisions in investing, however there are several techniques that can be utilized to overcome them. On this social aspect, one of the suggestions is increasing self-orientation. The investor might reduce fallacious judgement once they are aware of common emotional biases like loss aversion or anticipating regret. This awareness can help create wiser and fairer decisions as investments are not made out ofbias owing toemotions. Investing implies that emotions sometimes get in the way, therefore, it is necessary to follow routines that can detect when this occurs so that the effects can be managed.

Yet another good plan that investors can take is diversification of investment. A low-risk/high-return portfolio can minimize the emotional pressure involving high risk and constant volatility in the market. In other words, investors can minimize regret from short term losses by investing in rather conservative, yet alongside riskier more growth-oriented securities. Apart from protecting the investor from potential barriers in the market, the strategy also enables the investor to get a chance at value creation without getting trapped in volatilities at the same time. Actually diversified approach is beneficial for risk management and stabilization of the financial performance as well as regulation of certain emotional indicators.

Another use of systematic investing techniques is also used in order to control emotions, too. Some strategies that one can use are dollar cost averaging or sticking to a rebalancing schedule so that you are not influenced by the emotions that rogue market headlines bring out in you. These approaches make investors to keep their eyes on the prize, rather than be responding to changes in the market norm, hence best practices in investing. Dispassionate plans built with rationality give a framework and stability to investment pattern which provides no place for blind heroic state's investment decisions that follow the immediate market signals.

In addition, investors should not underestimate learning as well as training to adapt to the changes. It's very important to know tendencies on the market, economical conditions, and psychological prerequisites of transactions with securities. With the knowledge of how emotions influence their conduct in prospective market conditions, investors can adapt from their previous clumsy conducts. Such understanding better prepares the investors for better decision making since accomplishment of long-term goals is enhanced. Reflective practices are also necessary to investors so that they could enhance their judgement activities effectively. It is recommended to conduct investment decision evaluation after a decision to better understand the underlying processes taking place. This practice can enable the investors to have a clue on what caused them to feel when they made the decision; especially when the decision making didn't result a success. Analyzing decision making processes that have been made in the past enables investors to learn from past experiences and paved way for a better performance while investing by eradicating negative emotions.

Another suggestion is to consult professional help from financial experts is another suggestion. Investment consultants that have a knowledge of psychology can analyze their client's emotional character and give advice that is friendly to their temperament and investments wisely. Typically, these advisors can assist investors in developing solutions that reflect not only their behavioral predispositions but also their financial goals far into the future. Advisors can also bring impartial views in the process of decision making, this way ensuring that the investment is rightly made and passionately made without any influence from the investors emotions.

Last but not the least; constructing a support system is crucial to investors, especially at some crucial unstable periods. Some people may get relief from friends or kin or participation in community affairs in relation to the tough decisions that may be required regarding investments. Talking to friends or finding people who faced similar problems, can ease the feeling of being alone, which is so familiar to those who made 'mistakes'. This social support can also increase the sense of resilience thereby allowing investors to deal with next decisions with more certainty.

REFERENCES

Adkisson, R. V. (2008). Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth and happiness. *The Social Science Journal*, *45*(4), 700–701. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soscij.2008.09.003

Bakar, S., & Yi, A. N. C. (2016). The impact of psychological factors on investors' decision making in Malaysian stock market: A case of Klang valley and Pahang. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, *35*, 319–328. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(16)00040-X

Barber, B. M., & Odean, T. (2001). Boys will be boys: Gender, overconfidence, and common stock investment. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *116*(1), 261–292. https://doi.org/10.1162/003355301556400

Barberis, N., & Thaler, R. (2003). A survey of behavioral finance. *Handbook of the Economics of Finance*, *1*, 1053–1128. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1574-0102(03)01027-6

Becker, G. S. (1976). *The economic approach to human behavior*. University Of Chicago Press.

CFI Team. (2015). *Dotcom bubble*. Corporate Finance Institute. https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/career-map/sell-side/capital-markets/dotcom-bubble/

Clarke, T. (2015). *The dot-com crash of 2000-2002*. Moneymorning.com. https://moneymorning.com/2015/06/12/the-dot-com-crash-of-2000-2002

Clarke, T. (2015). *The dot-com crash of 2000-2002*. Moneymorning.com. https://moneymorning.com/2015/06/12/the-dot-com-crash-of-2000-2002

Dimitriou, D., & Simos, T. (2013). Contagion channels of the USA subprime financial crisis: Evidence from USA, EMU, China and Japan equity markets. *Journal of Financial Economic Policy*, *5*(1), 61-71.

Frydman, C., & Benmelech, E. (2020, April 29). *The 1918 influenza did not kill the US economy*. CEPR. https://cepr.org/voxeu/columns/1918-influenza-did-not-kill-us-economy

Gazel, S. (2015). The regret aversion as an investor bias. *International Journal of Arts & Sciences*, *4*(2), 419–424. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/295833430_The_Regret_Aversion_As_An_Investor_Bias

Gigante, S. (2024, July). Investor profile: Are you conservative? *MassMutual*. https://blog.massmutual.com/retiring-investing/investor-profile-conservative

Gigerenzer, G. (2008). *Gut feelings : The intelligence of the unconscious*. Penguin Books.

Hayes, A. (2024, May 31). *What ever happened to the dotcom bubble?* Investopedia. https://www.investopedia.com/terms/d/dotcom-bubble.asp

Hayes, A. (2024). *Conservative investing*. Investopedia. https://www.investopedia.com/terms/c/conservativeinvesting.asp

Hicks, C. (2024, November 8). *Retirement income strategies for the long haul*. Kiplinger. https://www.kiplinger.com/retirement/retirement-income-strategies-for-the-long-haul

Irfan, M., Raima Adeel, & Muhammad Shaukat Malik. (2023). The Impact of Emotional Finance, and Market Knowledge and Investor Protection on Investment Performance in Stock and Real Estate Markets. *SAGE Open*, *13*(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231206900

Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, *47*(2), 263–292. https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185

Krämer, W. (2013). Kahneman, D. (2011): Thinking, Fast and Slow. *Statistical Papers*, *55*(3), 915–915. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00362-013-0533-y

Lee, L. X. (2021, February 18). *Investors who try to time the market take on more risk and make less money: Study*. UBC News. https://news.ubc.ca/2021/02/active-trading-leads-to-lower-returns/

Massachusetts Financial Services Company. (2024). The benefits of low volatility as a strategic allocation. https://www.mfs.com/en-us/investment-professional/insights/market-insights/the-benefits-of-low-volatility-as-a-strategic-allocation.html

Mayorga, P. (2024). *The investment spectrum: Weighing the pros and cons of aggressive vs. conservative strategies*. Apollo Capital Management. https://www.apollocapitalmanagement.com/blog/the-investment-spectrum-weighing-the-pros-and-cons-of-aggressive-vs-cons

Nationwide. (2024). *Retirement Plans: Conservative Investments in Retirement* . https://www.nationwide.com/personal/investing/retirement-plans/about-to-retire/conservative-portfolio/

Paudel, R., & Yedgarian, V. A. (2024). Nepal's real estate landscape: Unveiling behavioral dynamics for strategic investments. *Utsaha: Journal of Entrepreneurship*, *3*(2), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.56943/joe.v3i2.514

Peak Financial Planning. (2024). *What is capital preservation?* Hepeakfp.com. https://www.thepeakfp.com/blog/what-is-capital-preservation

Pilat, D. (2021). *Why do we anticipate regret before we make a decision? Regret aversion.* The Decision Lab. https://thedecisionlab.com/biases/regret-aversion

Rainier, G. (2022). *What type of investor are you: The risk taker*. Www.mandg.co.za. https://www.mandg.co.za/insights/articlesreleases/what-type-of-investor-are-you-the-risk-taker/

Rodriguez-Diaz, R. R., & Torres, C. A. R. (2022). Contagion effect of the Brazilian stock exchange in South America. *Brazilian Journal of Business*, *4*(1), 444-458. https://doi.org/10.34140/bjbv4n1-026

Sanghvi, P. (2024). Prospect theory and its implications for investor behavior. *FMP*. https://site.financialmodelingprep.com/education/financial-analysis/Prospect-Theory-and-Its-Implications-for-Investor-Behavior

Shefrin, H., & Statman, M. (1985). The disposition to sell winners too early and ride losers too long: Theory and evidence. *The Journal of Finance*, *40*(3), 777–790. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1985.tb05002.x

Stalter, K., & McVearry, R. (2024). *7 high-return, low-risk investments for retirees*. US News & World Report. https://money.usnews.com/investing/articles/high-return-low-risk-investments-for-retirees

Thaler, R. (1980). Toward a positive theory of consumer choice. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, *1*(1), 39–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2681(80)90051-7

TPT Wealth. (2024). *Conservative Investments*. https://tptwealth.com.au/conservative-investments/

Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. *Science*, *185*(4157), 1124–1131. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.185.4157.1124

Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1981). The framing of decisions and the psychology of choice. *Science*, *211*(4481), 453–458. http://www.jstor.org/stable/1685855

Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1991). Loss aversion in riskless choice: A reference dependent model. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *106*(4), 1039–1061. https://doi.org/10.2307/2937956

van Vliet, P. (2022). Conservative investing stands the test of time. *The Investment Engineers*. https://www.robeco.com/en-int/insights/2022/05/conservative-investing-stands-the-test-of-time

von Neumann, J., Morgenstern, O., & Rubinstein, A. (1944). *Theory of games and economic behavior (60th anniversary commemorative edition)*. Princeton University Press; JSTOR. https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt1r2gkx

Wangzhou, K., Khan, M., Hussain, S., Ishfaq, M., & Farooqi, R. (2021). Effect of regret aversion and information cascade on investment decisions in the real estate sector: The mediating role of risk perception and the moderating effect of financial literacy. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.736753

Yuvaraj D, & Venugopal, P. (2024). Examining the relationship between financial knowledge, risk tolerance, and past behavioural bias on investors actual investment behavior. *RGSA*, *18*(6), e05739. https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v18n6-025

Zhao , E., & Hall, C. R. (2025). The dot-com bubble: A historical perspective and a cautionary tale for the age of AI. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)*, *28*(8), 04–07. https://doi.org/10.9790/0837-2808050407